

Quantum LC circuits with charge discreteness: normal and anomalous spectrum

J. C. Flores

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Tarapacá, Casilla 7-D,
Arica, Chile

Abstract: A solvable quantum LC circuit with charge discreteness is studied. Two discrete spectral branches are obtained: (i) the normal branch corresponding to a charged capacitor with integer effective charge $k = q_e n$ (q_e elementary charge, n integer) and (ii) the anomalous branch where the energy is related to non-integer effective charge $k = q_e(n-x)$ in the capacitor. For usual mesoscopics data like quantum point contact we found $x \sim 0.7$.

Published in Europhys.Lett. **69**,116 (2005).

PACS: 73.23.-b, 73.63.-b, 73.21.-b

Keywords: Electronic transport. Nanoscale. Mesoscopic.

In mesoscopic systems the discrete nature of the electrical charge plays an important role [1]; phenomena like Coulomb blockage, current magnification, spectral properties of wires etc. are related to it. In addition, the concept of an elementary quantum flux h/e arises naturally from charge discreteness. On the other hand, some of the above mentioned systems, and others, could be studied through the analogy with simple electrical circuits with capacitances and inductances [2-7]. From this point of view, the quantization of circuits with charge discreteness [3] plays an important role in mesoscopic physics and this paper is related to this topic. We emphasize that charge discreteness is assumed in this paper as an experimental fact and therefore, it is not our goal to prove it from first principles.

Consider a quantum LC circuit with charge operator $\hat{Q}$, magnetic flux operator $\hat{\phi}$ and the commutation rule $[\hat{Q}, \hat{\phi}] = i\hbar$. In close analogy with the known quantum problem of a particle in a box, where the momentum is quantized, boundary conditions on the state $\psi(\phi)$ must be imposed. So, on the wave function we must impose the charge discreteness condition related to

$$\psi(\phi + \frac{h}{q_e}) = \psi(\phi), \quad (1)$$

where q_e is the elementary charge. Note that (1) means that the system must be translation invariant in the ϕ -space. Moreover, the eigenvectors of the charge operator $\hat{Q} = i\hbar\partial/\partial\phi$ are $e^{-iq_e\phi j/\hbar}$, with eigenvalues $j q_e$ (j integer) and compatible with charge discreteness. In this way, the state of the systems in charge representation ψ_j and flux representation $\psi(\phi)$ are connected by the transformation

$$\psi(\phi) = \sum_j e^{-i\phi q_e j/\hbar} \psi_j. \quad (2)$$

We note that, in the flux space, eqs. (1) and (2) are consequences of charge discreteness and the fact that the charge operator and flux operator are canonical conjugate.

The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ for the LC circuit with charge discreteness requires compatibility with the boundary condition (1). Note that when $q_e = 0$ the

LC -Hamiltonian is given by

$$\widehat{H}_{q_e=0} = \frac{1}{2L}\widehat{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2C}\widehat{Q}^2, \quad (3)$$

where electron-electron interaction is automatically considered in the capacitor properties.

The periodic boundary condition (1), tells us that the Hamiltonian has the generic form

$$\widehat{H}_{q_e} = \frac{2\hbar^2}{q_e^2 L} P\left(\frac{q_e}{\hbar}\widehat{\phi}\right) + \frac{1}{2C}\widehat{Q}^2, \quad (4)$$

where $P(x)$ is a periodic function of period 1 (translation invariance). The factor $2\hbar^2/q_e^2 L$ was incorporated to make contact with references [3-7], where charge discretization was considered. In fact, references [3-7] consider charge discreteness with the choice $P(x) = \sin^2(\pi x/2)$. This is directly related to the discretization of the operator $i\hbar\partial/\partial Q$ as finite differences. Nevertheless, it is important to realize that there are other possible choices for discretization procedure which could be used to represent charge discreteness. Namely, any system described by the Hamiltonian (4), with the additional condition (1) imposed upon it, represents a discrete charge quantum LC circuit. Note that the inductance L and capacitance C are fixed parameters in our theory. Nevertheless, an equivalent approach could be assumed if, for instance, the inductance in eq.(3) is flux-depending (effective inductance) in correspondence with (4).

In this paper we shall study a solvable model for the LC circuit, described by a Hamiltonian with charge discreteness, and closely related to quantum point contact systems. We shall consider a Hamiltonian with the structure of (4) and related to the Schrödinger equation in flux representation ($\widehat{Q} = -i\hbar\partial/\partial\phi$):

$$E\psi(\phi) = \frac{2\hbar^2}{q_e^2 L} \left\{ \sum_l \delta\left(\frac{q_e}{\hbar}\phi - l\right) \right\} \psi(\phi) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2C} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2} \psi(\phi). \quad (5)$$

The above equation is the basis of our calculations and is closely related to the Kronig-Penney model used in Solid State Physics, nevertheless, the condition (1) is more restrictive than the usual one (Bloch theorem). In fact,

translation invariance of (5) tell us that $\psi(\phi + h/q_e) = \psi(\phi) \exp(iK2\pi/q_e)$ (Bloch) and then from (1) we must consider only $K = 0$. So, some points, or levels, of the band structure of (5) are related to the condition of charge discreteness.

As usual for an equation like (5), between two δ -barriers (at position l/hq_e and $(l+1)/hq_e$, l integer) we have the equation $E\psi(\phi) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2C} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \psi(\phi)$ and its solution is given by

$$\psi_l(\phi) = A_l e^{ik\phi/\hbar} + B_l e^{-ik\phi/\hbar}, \quad (6)$$

where the *effective charge* k is a parameter with dimension of electrical charge. In term of this parameter, the energy of the system becomes

$$E = \frac{1}{2C} k^2, \quad (7)$$

corresponding to the energy of a capacitor. As a consequence of the boundary condition (1), the parameter k is not continuous. In fact, the matching conditions in a barrier $\psi(\frac{h}{q_e}l)_+ = \psi(\frac{h}{q_e}l)_-$ (by left and right side) and the step in the first derivative $\psi'(\frac{h}{q_e}l)_+ - \psi'(\frac{h}{q_e}l)_- = (4Ch/Lq_e^3) \psi$ tell us that the coefficients A and B of (6) are not arbitrary. For instance, for the coefficient A one obtains the recursive equation

$$A_{l+1} e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{q_e}} + A_{l-1} e^{-2\pi i \frac{k}{q_e}} = A_l \left(\alpha e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{q_e}} + \alpha^* e^{-2\pi i \frac{k}{q_e}} \right), \quad (8)$$

where the coefficient $\alpha = 1 - i \frac{2C\hbar h}{Lq_e^3 k}$. Defining the new variable $x_l = e^{i2\pi l k/q_e} A_l$ the above equation becomes

$$x_{l+1} + x_{l-1} = \left\{ 2 \cos \left(\frac{2\pi k}{q_e} \right) + \frac{4C\hbar h}{Lq_e^3 k} \sin \left(\frac{2\pi k}{q_e} \right) \right\} x_l. \quad (9)$$

Like to the Solid State case, equation (9) gives a band structure when the condition

$$\cos \left(\frac{2\pi}{q_e} K \right) = \cos \left(\frac{2\pi k}{q_e} \right) + \frac{2C\hbar h}{Lq_e^3 k} \sin \left(\frac{2\pi k}{q_e} \right), \quad (10)$$

holds for some values of K . Since the energy E and the parameter k are related by (7), the above equation gives formally the spectrum $E(K)$ of (5). Nevertheless, as said before, from the condition (1) for quantum circuits we must consider $K = 0$ on (10). Therefore, the spectrum of the LC circuit with charge discreteness is obtained from the solutions of

$$1 = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{q_e}\right) + \frac{2C\hbar h}{Lq_e^3 k} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi k}{q_e}\right). \quad (11)$$

Observe that $k = 0$ is not a solution (zero point fluctuations). To solve (11), consider the definition

$$\tan \theta = \frac{2C\hbar h}{Lq_e^3 k}, \quad (12)$$

then, the equation (11) becomes

$$\cos\left(\theta - \frac{2\pi k}{q_e}\right) = \cos \theta. \quad (13)$$

There is two solutions:

(a) Normal spectrum ($E^{(N)}$): where $(\theta - 2\pi k/q_e) = +(\theta - 2\pi n)$, then we have the effective charge $k = nq_e$ ($n \neq 0$, and integer) and the spectrum is

$$E_n^{(N)} = \frac{1}{2C} (nq_e)^2, \quad (14)$$

with some similarities to the spectrum of a quantum dot [1].

(b) Anomalous spectrum ($E^{(A)}$): where $(\theta - 2\pi k/q_e) = -(\theta - 2\pi n)$, then $\theta = \pi(k/q_e - n)$. Using the definition (12), in this case the equation for the effective charge k becomes

$$\frac{k}{q_e} \tan\left(\frac{k}{q_e}\pi\right) = \frac{2C\hbar h}{Lq_e^4}, \quad (15)$$

which defines implicitly the anomalous spectrum $E_n^{(A)}$. This is an interesting result, because our technique produces a second branch different from the expected result (14) breaking degeneracy. For instance, in the approximation

$2C\hbar h/Lq_e^4 \gg 1$ we obtain for the effective charge $k \sim q_e(n - 1/2)$, with its corresponding energy spectrum given by (7). In the anomalous case we have $0 < k/q_e < 0.5 \pmod{1}$. In general, from the above results we conclude that between two integer (normal) solutions of (11) (given by $k = nq_e$) there is another, (anomalous) related to the branch (15). In this way, the magnetic term in equation (5) removes degeneracy and one obtains the two branches at the spectrum. Moreover, for large values of k these two branches approach together as shows figure 1.

Equation (15) can be simplified by assuming that the effective charge $k = q_e(n - x)$ where the approximation $n \gg x$ is considered ($0 < x < 1$). In this approximation, equation (15) becomes

$$n \tan \pi x = -\frac{2C\hbar h}{Lq_e^4}. \quad (16)$$

As a possible application of the above results consider a two-dimensional electron gas in a mesoscopic device like a quantum point contact [8-13]. Since crudely $C \sim \epsilon_o d$ and $L \sim \mu_o d$ then the right hand side of (15) is $2C\hbar h/Lq_e^4 \sim 1,399 \times 10^3$ [14]. On the other hand, this two-dimensional gas have a density of $10^{15} m^{-2}$ [8], then the number n of particles can be estimated as $10^{15} \times (10^{-6})^2 = 10^3$ (with $d \sim 10^{-6} m$, a micrometric distance [8]). The explicit evaluation of (16) is

$$10^3 \tan \pi x = -1.399 \times 10^3, \quad (17)$$

with the solution $x = 0.698 \sim 0.7$. Therefore, just below the normal state with effective charge $k = q_e n$, there lies an anomalous state with effective charge $k = q_e(n - x)$. Note that strictly the right hand of eqs. (15) or (16) are depending on the geometry (size, etc.) of the systems and the assumptions $C \sim \epsilon_o d$ and $L \sim \mu_o d$ is a crude approximation. Namely, strictly we can expect a size dependence on x .

Decoherence and dissipation is a hard topic in quantum mechanics and then, also in quantum circuit. In fact, circuits are close related with effects like Ohm law or Joule dissipative effects. The standard way to consider decoherence and dissipation in quantum mechanics is related to the connection

of the systems to a thermic bath. Closed equations for the system are found by tracing onto the bath degree. This standard technique was used in meso-particles ([15] and [16-20]). In our case of circuits, a similar procedure could be envisaged in the future.

In resume, we have presented a quantum solvable LC circuit with charge discreteness. The spectrum was studied and it contains two branches, the normal (14) and the anomalous (15). It corresponds to the remotion of degeneracy due to the magnetic term in (5). For quantum point contact data, the spectrum was explicitly characterized (17). From a general point of view, our theory represents an easily and efficient approach to consider mesoscopic and nano-devices in the future.

This work was supported by FONDECYT (Grant 1040311). Useful discussions with C. A. Utreras (UACH), A. Perez (PUC) and S. Montecinos (UFRO) concerning charge discreteness and boundary conditions are acknowledged.

References

- [1] S. Datta, *Electronic Transport In Mesoscopic Systems* (Cambridge, University Press, 1995).
- [2] W. H. Louisell, *Quantum Statistical Properties of Radiation* (Wiley, New York, 1973).
- [3] Y. Q. Li and B. Chen, Phys.Rev.B **53**, 4027 (1996).
- [4] J. C. Flores and C. A. Utreras Díaz, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 153410 (2002).
- [5] B. Chen, X. Shen and Y. Q. Li, Phys. Lett. A, **313**, 431 (2003).
- [6] J. C. Flores, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 235309 (2001).
- [7] T. Lu and Y. Q. Li, Mod. Phys. Lett. B **16**, 975 (2002).

- [8] A. Kristensen *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 10950 (2000). And references therein.
- [9] B. Spivak and F. Zhou, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 16730 (2000).
- [10] K. J. Thomas *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 135 (1996).
- [11] N. K. Patel *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 13549 (1991).
- [12] D. J. Reilly *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 246801 (2002). Also in Phys. Rev. B **63**, 121311 (2001).
- [13] Y. Meir *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 196802 (2002).
- [14] We use the MKS values: $\epsilon_o = 8.8 \times 10^{-12}$; $\mu_o = 1,26 \times 10^{-6}$; $h = 6.6 \times 10^{-34}$; $\hbar = 10^{-34}$; $q_e = 1.602 \times 10^{-19}$.
- [15] A. R. Kolovsky, Europhys. Lett. **27**, 79 (1994).
- [16] J. C. Flores, Europhys. Lett. **29**, 653 (1995).
- [17] A. R. Kolovsky, Europhys. Lett. **29**, 655 (1995).
- [18] A. Perez, Europhys. Lett **32**, 91 (1995).
- [19] J. C. Flores J. Phys. A: Math.Gen. **31**, 8623 (1998).
- [20] H. K. Park and S. W. Kim, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 060102 (2003).